UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/537,721	06/07/2005	Michinobu Yanagisawa	450100-05302	5127	
William S From	7590 09/18/200 nmer	EXAMINER			
Frommer Lawrence & Haug			HYLTON, ROBIN ANNETTE		
745 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10151			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3781		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/18/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Ар	Application No. Applicant(s)				
		10	/537,721	YANAGISAWA E	T AL.		
		Ex	aminer	Art Unit			
		RC	BIN HYLTON	3781			
۔ Period foı	- The MAILING DATE of this commun Reply	nication appears	on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress		
WHICI - Extens after S - If NO - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply to ply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). munication. tatutory period will app y will, by statute, cause	OF THIS COMMUN In no event, however, may oly and will expire SIX (6) Me the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1) 🔲 🗆	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on .					
·		2b)⊠ This acti	on is non-final.				
′=	Since this application is in condition	<i>-</i> —		atters, prosecution as to th	e merits is		
-	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositio	on of Claims						
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the a _l	oplication.					
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)🛛	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) 🗌 (Claim(s) are subject to restric	ction and/or ele	ction requirement.				
Application	on Papers						
9)⊠ Т	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.					
•	he drawing(s) filed on is/are		d or b)⊡ objected t	o by the Examiner.			
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
İ	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	g the correction is	required if the drawi	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).		
11)□ T	he oath or declaration is objected to	o by the Examii	ner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notice 3) Inform	(s) of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Fation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 6/7/05 & 2/26/07.	PTO-948)	Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application 			

Art Unit: 3781

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

- 2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is too long and contains reference characters. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the phrase "According to claim" is not permissible. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Specifically, the claims are rejected for the following reasons:

There is insufficient antecedent basis for the following limitations in the claims:

- Claim 1, line 20, "the biasing direction".
- Claim 1, lines 21-22, "the midpoint of the movable range of the holding member".
- Claim 3, line 3, "the moving direction of the holding member".
- Claim 4, lines 3-4, "the moving direction of the holding member".
- Claim 5, line 23, "the biasing direction".
- Claim 5, lines 24-25, "the midpoint of the movable range of the holding member".
- Claim 7, line 3, "the moving direction of the holding member".

Art Unit: 3781

• Claim 8, line 4, "the moving direction of the holding member".

The structure of the sliding cover is not clearly set forth in the claims.

• There is no structure set forth for a "structural member" in claims 1, 5.

- There is no structure set forth for the "guiding components" in claims 1, 5
- It is unclear if the holding member actually comprises the parallel racks and guiding portions and a holding plate. If so, what is the structural and/or spatial relationship between these elements?
- What is the structural and/or spatial relationship between the holding plate and the even number of pinions and guiding components which are supported by the holding plate?
- What is the difference between the guiding portions and the guiding components?
- Claim 2 "further" sets forth an operating projection on the structural member.
 However, there was no structure previously set forth in claim 1 for the structural member.
- How does one determine the "opposite side of the outside plate" as set forth in claim 2?

Dependent claims not specifically mentioned are rejected as depending from rejected base claims since they inherently contain the same deficiencies therein.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 1-8 appear to avoid the art of record. However, the claims are not deemed allowable in view of the rejections under 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph above.

Conclusion

6. Applicant is duly reminded that a complete response must satisfy the requirements of 37 C.F.R. 1.111, including: "The reply must present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied

Art Unit: 3781

references. A general allegation that the claims "define a patentable invention" without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section. Moreover, "The prompt development of a clear Issue requires that the replies of the applicant meet the objections to and rejections of the claims." Applicant should also specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure. See MPEP 2163.06 II(A), MPEP 2163.06 and MPEP 714.02. The "disclosure" includes the claims, the specification and the drawings.

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Various prior art closures teaching features similar to those disclosed and/or claimed are cited for their disclosures.
- 8. In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Group 3720/80 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Group at (571) 273-8300. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into Group 3720/80 will be promptly forwarded to the examiner.
- 9. It is called to applicant's attention that if a communication is faxed before the reply time has expired, applicant may submit the reply with a "Certificate of Facsimile" which merely asserts that the reply is being faxed on a given date. So faxed, before the period for reply has expired, the reply may be considered timely. A suggested format for a certificate follows:

The U.	I hereby certify that this correspondence for Application Serial No is being facsimiled to S. Patent and Trademark Office via fax number 571-273-8300 on the date shown below:
	Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate
	Signature
	Date

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robin Hylton whose telephone number is (571) 272-4540. The examiner can normally be reached Monday - Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m. (Eastern time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Stashick, can be reached on (571) 272-4561.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions

Art Unit: 3781

on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Other helpful telephone numbers are listed for applicant's benefit:

- Allowed Files & Publication (888) 786-0101
- Assignment Branch (800) 972-6382
- Certificates of Correction (703) 305-8309
- Fee Questions (571) 272-6400
- Inventor Assistance Center (800) PTO-9199
- Petitions/special Programs (571) 272-3282
- Information Help line 1-800-786-9199
- Internet PTO-Home Page http://www.uspto.gov

/Robin A. Hylton/ Robin A. Hylton Primary Examiner GAU 3781